

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/046,061	01/11/2002		Mohamed Alam	ALAM-FULL	2788	_ 1
4988	7590	04/28/2004		EXAMINER		ר (
ALFRED N			CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
	225 OLD COUNTRY ROAD MELVILLE, NY 11747-2712				PAPER NUMBER	7
	,			1761		-

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/046,061	ALA M Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	ARTHUR L.	CREIN MG1
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ON	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>- i </u>	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 		secution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Ø Claim(s) 1 - 2 7		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
✓Claim(s) (-27	•	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application N	ò:
\square Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
in this national stage application from the International E		
*Certified copies not received:	· · · - · - · - · - · - · - · · - · · - · · - ·	·
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ N	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	ther	
.,	_	
Office Act	ion Summary	

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4 and 12, drawn to a composition for cleaning and disinfecting products, classified in class 426, subclass 532.
 - II. Claims 5-11 and 13-27, drawn to a process for cleaning and disinfecting products, classified in class 426, subclass 332.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, e.g. a process of cleaning and disinfecting medical instruments.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: seafood or fish (claims 5-7, 10, 18, 19), meat (claims 10, 20, 22), poultry (claims 10, 21), produce (claim 23), food preparation surface or machine (claims 24-25), livestock feed (claim 26) and livestock orifice (claim 27).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8, 11 and 13 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant must elect one of the species set forth in paragraph No.4 above only if the claims of Group II are elected.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10:30am to 8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh April 26, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

4-27-04